

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/880,365	HANNA, MARK B.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jessica L. Rossi.

(2) Mr. Fish.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Discussed amending claims 12 and 14 to overcome 112 2nd paragraph rejection set forth in paragraph 7 of the non-final office action dated 12/10/04. Examiner pointed out that the present amendment clarified what was meant by the first and second materials being different (claim 12, lines 19-21) but Applicant forgot to do the same for the third and fourth materials (claim 12, lines 30-32 and claim 14, lines 3-4). Therefore, Applicant agreed to amend claim 12 to state in lines 30-31 --a third glass material made from a material different from each of the first and second glass materials-- and to amend claim 14 to state in lines 3-4 --a fourth glass material made from a material different from each of the first and second glass materials--. Support for these limitations is found on p. 15, lines 18-22, p. 16, lines 22-27, p. 17, lines 21-30 and p. 18, lines 9-14 and lines 24-26 of the specification; see Figure 14 where first material = 151, second material = 156, third material = 152 + 157.